



This receiving Office has found the following defects in the priority claim(s):

**1. Failure to Comply with the Requirements of Rule 4.10**

- a.  **National** application
- Missing indication of the filing date of the earlier application.
  - Filing date indicated for the earlier application does not fall within the period of 12 months preceding the international filing date.<sup>1</sup>
  - Missing indication of the number of the earlier application.<sup>2</sup>
  - Missing indication of the country party to the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, or of the Member of the World Trade Organization that is not party to that Convention, in which the earlier national application was filed.
  - The country indicated is neither a party to the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property nor a Member of the World Trade Organization.
- b.  **Regional** application
- Missing indication of the filing date of the earlier application.
  - Filing date indicated for the earlier application does not fall within the period of 12 months preceding the international filing date.<sup>1</sup>
  - Missing indication of the number of the earlier application.<sup>2</sup>
  - Missing indication of the authority entrusted with the granting of regional patents under the applicable regional patent treaty.
  - The authority indicated as the authority entrusted with the granting of regional patents does not grant regional patents.
  - The priority claim in relation to the ARIPO application does not indicate either at least one country party to the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, or at least one Member of the World Trade Organization, for which the earlier application was filed.
- c.  **International** application
- Missing indication of the filing date of the earlier application.
  - Filing date indicated for the earlier application does not fall within the period of 12 months preceding the international filing date.<sup>1</sup>
  - Missing indication of the number of the earlier application.<sup>2</sup>
  - Missing indication of the receiving Office with which it was filed.

**2. Inconsistency with the Corresponding Indications in the Priority Document<sup>2</sup>**

- a.  Inconsistency with regard to the filing date of the earlier application:  
The request indicates:  
The priority document indicates:
- b.  Inconsistency with regard to the number of the earlier application:  
The request indicates: 00296/19  
The priority document indicates: 00296/17
- c.  Inconsistency with regard to the country party to the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property or the Member of the World Trade Organization in which the **national** application was filed:  
The request indicates:  
The priority document indicates:
- d.  Inconsistency with regard to the authority entrusted with the granting of *regional patents* under the applicable regional patent treaty:  
The request indicates:  
The priority document indicates:
- e.  Inconsistency with regard to the receiving Office with which the **international** application was filed:  
The request indicates:  
The priority document indicates:

1 If the international filing date is later than the date on which the priority period expired, but is within two months from that date, the priority claim will not be considered void (Rule 26bis.2(c)(iii)).

2 Even if this defect is not corrected in response to this Invitation, the priority claim concerned will not be considered void (Rule 26bis.2(c)(i) and (ii)).