PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	г		ITERN	NATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
PCT		To: HASAN Nishat 3390 E. Harmony Road				
WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT		Fort Collin	ıs, Col	, Colorado 80528 s of America		
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)						
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 31 May 2018 (31.05.2018)						
Applicant's or agent's file reference 84634781		FOR FURTHER A		N ee paragraph 2 below		
	filing date (day/1) 1gust 2017 (28)		Priori	ty date (day/month/year)		
Applicant HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT CO	C12M1/ B81B1/ B01L3/ G01N33	34 (2006.01) 00 (2006.01) 00 (2006.01) /50 (2006.01)				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the fo	ollowing items:					
X Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion w	ith regard to nov	velty, inventive step	and inc	lustrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 4 citations and explanations suppor			inventi	ve step and industrial applicability;		
Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the internation	onal application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the int	ternational appli	cation				
2. FURTHER ACTION						
If a demand for international preliminary examin International Preliminary Examining Authority (other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen opinions of this International Searching Authorit	"IPEA") except n IPEA has noti	that this does not ap fied the Internationa	oply wh	nere the applicant chooses an Authority		
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered a written reply together, where appropriate, with PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 mon	amendments, b	efore the expiration	of 3 mo	onths from the date of mailing of Form		
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
N 1 2 11 62 704 707		0.44				
Federal Institute of Industrial Property,	*	on of this opinion		Authorized officer		
Berezhkovskaya nab., 30-1, Moscow, G-59, GSP-3, Russia, 125993	08 May 2	018 (08.05.2018)		A.Kubasov		

Telephone No. (8-495) 531-65-15

Facsimile No: (8-495) 531-63-18, (8-499) 243-33-37

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 2017/048835

Вох	No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of: X the international application in the language in which it was filed.
	a translation of the international application into translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.	This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
	a. forming part of the international application as filed:
	in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
	on paper or in the form of an image file.
	b. furnished together with the international application under PCT Rule 13ter.1(a) for the purposes of international search only in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
	c. furnished subsequent to the international filing date for the purposes of international search only:
	in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file (Rule 13ter.1(a)).
	on paper or in the form of an image file (Rule 13 <i>ter</i> .1(b) and Administrative Instructions, Section 713).
4.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5.	Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 2017/048835

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43his.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15	YES
	Claims		NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2, 6, 9-11, 14-15	YES
	Claims	1, 3-5, 7-8, 12-13	NO NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-15	YES
	Claims		NO NO

Citations and explanations:

D1: US 6653136 B1, 25.11.2003; D2: US 9248230 B2, 02.02.2016; D3: US 8728025 B2, 20.05.2014; D4: US 9091656 B2, 28.07.2015.

From D1 (abstract, col. 2-3, 5-6, 8, claim 10, fig. 1-3) a fluid device is known, comprising: a substrate including a sense region; a microfluidic channel structure formed in the substrate and including a first channel; a sensor disposed in the sense region to lie above the microfluidic channel structure and adjacent to the first channel; and a deformable cover disposed on the substrate.

The invention of independent claim 1 differs from this known in D1 in that the deformable cover is made to seal the sensor from contact with a fluid in the microfluidic channel structure and ambient conditions, the fluid to be fluidly coupled to the sensor when the deformable cover is deformed.

Therefore, the invention of independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2-6 meets the criterion of novelty.

However, D2 (abstract, col. 9-10, fig. 1-2) discloses a sensor device for use in a medical fluid delivery system comprising the deformable cover to seal the sensor from contact with a fluid in the microfluidic channel structure and ambient conditions, wherein the fluid to be fluidly coupled to the sensor when the deformable cover is deformed.

Therefore, the invention of independent claim 1 does not meet the criterion of inventive step.

From D1 (abstract, col. 2-3, 5-8, claim 10, fig. 1-4) a fluid device is known, comprising: a substrate; a sensor coupled to the substrate; a reservoir formed in the substrate adjacent to the sensor; and a deformable cover disposed on the substrate.

The invention of independent claim 7 differs from this known in D1 in that the deformable cover is made to seal the sensor and the reservoir.

Therefore, the invention of independent claim 7 and dependent claims 8-13 meets the criterion of novelty.

However, D2 (abstract, col. 9-10, fig. 1-2) discloses a sensor device for use in a medical fluid delivery system comprising the deformable cover to seal the sensor and the reservoir.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 2017/048835

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of V:

Therefore, the invention of independent claim 7 does not meet the criterion of inventive step.

Features of dependent claims 3-4, 12, relating to a transparent region of the substrate and a sensor, are known from D3 (col. 15, 31, claim 15).

Features of dependent claims 5, 13, relating to a SERS substrate, are known from D4 (abstract).

Features of dependent claim 8, characterizing that the reservoir and the sensor are disposed in separate compartments formed by the deformable cover, are known from D2 (abstract, col. 9-10, fig. 1-2).

Therefore, the inventions of dependent claims 3-5, 8, 12-13 do not meet the criterion of inventive step.

Features of dependent claims 2, 6, 9-11 are not known from the prior art and are not obvious to a person skilled in the art for improving the accuracy and reliability of detection.

Therefore, the inventions of dependent claims 2, 6, 9-11 meet the criterion of inventive step.

From D1 (abstract, col. 2-3, 5-8, fig. 1-4) a device is known, comprising: a substrate; a fluid reservoir formed in the substrate; and a deformable cover disposed on the substrate.

The invention of independent claim 14 differs from this known in D1 in that the device also comprises a SERS substrate disposed at least partially in the transparent region; the substrate includes a transparent region; the fluid reservoir is formed to be fluidly coupled to the SERS substrate; the deformable cover is made to seal the SERS substrate from the fluid reservoir in a gastight compartment.

Therefore, the invention of independent claim 14 and dependent claim 15 meets the criterion of novelty.

The above mentioned distinguishing features of independent claim 14 are not known from the prior art and the invention in whole is not obvious to a person skilled in the art for improving the accuracy and reliability of detection.

Therefore, the invention of independent claim 14 and dependent claim 15 meets the criterion of inventive step.

The inventions of the claims 1-15 meet the criterion of industrial applicability.