

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

# PCT

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)**

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
PCT/EP2017/070017

International filing date (day/month/year)  
08.08.2017

Priority date (day/month/year)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
INV. A47B88/53

Applicant  
BABY DAN AS

**1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:**

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**2. FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office  
P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas  
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040  
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016


Date of completion of this opinion

see form  
PCT/ISA/210

Authorized Officer

Kohler, Pierre

Telephone No. +31 70 340-0



---

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - the international application in the language in which it was filed.
  - a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2.  This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.  With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing:
  - a.  forming part of the international application as filed:
    - in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
    - on paper or in the form of an image file.
  - b.  furnished together with the international application under PCT Rule 13ter.1(a) for the purposes of international search only in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
  - c.  furnished subsequent to the international filing date for the purposes of international search only:
    - in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file (Rule 13ter.1(a)).
    - on paper or in the form of an image file (Rule 13ter.1(b) and Administrative Instructions, Section 713).
4.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that forming part of the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	<u>2, 3, 8-10</u>
	No: Claims	<u>1, 4-7, 11, 12</u>
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	<u>2, 3, 8-10</u>
	No: Claims	<u>1, 4-7, 11, 12</u>
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	<u>1-12</u>
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

---

**Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application**

---

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

**Re Item V**

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1 DE 90 06 941 U1 23 August 1990 (1990-08-23)
- D2 GB 2 273 736 A (CITIZEN WATCH CO LTD [JP]) 29 June 1994  
(1994-06-29)

- 1 The present application does not meet the criteria of patentability, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new.

D1 which is regarded as being the prior art closest discloses se Fig. 1-3, the reference in brackets applying to this document:

A child safety lock apparatus comprising:

- a first anchoring unit (1); and
- a flexible or rigid elongate strap member (2) connected to a second anchoring unit (Fig.1) at its first end, and to a lock unit (9) at its second end (Fig.1);

wherein the first anchoring unit (1) comprises:

- a) a base plate (210) adapted for fastening to a surface; and
- b) an anchoring section (3) connected to the base plate (base plate of (3) in unit (1)), and configured as a protrusion with two opposing cavities (6, 7);

wherein the lock unit (9) comprises:

- a) a base plate (base of (9)) adapted for being connected to the flexible or rigid elongate strap member (2), and comprising an opening (Fig.3 opening oriented to the bottom) adapted for receiving the anchoring section (3) through a first surface side (Fig.3 surface around the opening to the bottom);
  - b) two sliding members (14, 14), each adapted for slidingly engagement with one another, and adapted for sliding, in opposite direction in relation to one another, on the base plate (321) on the opposing surface side (Fig.2 surface in contact with each sliding member oriented to the top of the base of (9)) to the first surface side (Fig.3 surface around the opening to the bottom);
- wherein each sliding member (14, 14) comprises a first protrusion (15) adapted for fitting into one of the two opposing cavities (6, 7) in the anchoring section (3) of the anchoring unit (1).

D1 discloses all the features of the claim 1, therefore the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new.

D1 disclosed all the features of the dependent claims 4-7 and 11, therefore the subject-matter of independent claims 4-7 and 11 is not new.

- claim 4, see Fig.3 opening in (9) to guide the pin 13.
- claim 5, see Fig.2.
- claim 6, see Fig.1 and description page 6, first paragraph.
- claim 7, see Figs 2,3.

As the method steps of claim 12 are known from D1, the subject-matter of independent claim 12 is not new.

Furthermore D1 disclosed all the features of the claims 1, 4-7,11 and 12 see figures 1-6, therefore the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 4-7,11 and 12 is not new.

### **Re Item VIII**

#### **Certain observations on the international application**

- 2 The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 2.1 The following wording used in the claim 2 attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved :  
  
"configured such that the anchoring section (220) must be inserted into and removed from the opening (322) of the base plate (321) at an oblique angle."  
  
The functional statements and the missing technical features do not enable the skilled person to determine which technical features are necessary to perform the stated function.
- 3 A new independent claim may be drafted cl.1 + cl.11.

\*\*\*\*\*