

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)**

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/DK2018/050189

International filing date (day/month/year)
02.08.2018

Priority date (day/month/year)
03.08.2017

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
INV. B23C5/04 B23C5/18

Applicant
VESTAS WIND SYSTEMS A/S

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office
P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016


Date of completion of this opinion

see form
PCT/ISA/210

Authorized Officer

Herbreteau, D

Telephone No. +31 70 340-0



Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - the international application in the language in which it was filed.
 - a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2. This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing:
 - a. forming part of the international application as filed:
 - in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
 - on paper or in the form of an image file.
 - b. furnished together with the international application under PCT Rule 13ter.1(a) for the purposes of international search only in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
 - c. furnished subsequent to the international filing date for the purposes of international search only:
 - in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file (Rule 13ter.1(a)).
 - on paper or in the form of an image file (Rule 13ter.1(b) and Administrative Instructions, Section 713).
4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that forming part of the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	<u>2, 3, 5-14</u>
	No: Claims	<u>1, 4, 15</u>
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	<u>5-14</u>
	No: Claims	<u>1-4, 15</u>
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	<u>1-15</u>
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 5 020 394 A (NAKAMURA TSUTOMU [JP] ET AL) 4 June 1991 (1991-06-04)

D2: WO 2015/018842 A1 (ELEMENT SIX LTD [IE]) 12 February 2015 (2015-02-12)

D3: GB 2 481 295 A (ELEMENT SIX LTD [IE]; ELEMENT SIX PRODUCTION PTY LTD [ZA]) 21 December 2011 (2011-12-21)

D4: WO 02/49801 A1 (DE BEERS IND DIAMOND [ZA]; FRIES ROBERT [ZA]; SIGALAS IACOVOS [ZA]) 27 June 2002 (2002-06-27)

2 Novelty, inventive step

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(2) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 4, 15 is not new. Further, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 2, 3 is not inventive.

2.2 Claim 1, claim 15

Document D1 discloses a mill bit according to claim 1, and therefore a method of making a mill bit according to claim 15, wherein this document discloses (see D1, figure 2, Example 1) an elongate base body having a proximal end, a distal end, an outer surface, an internal bore that defines an inner surface,

one or more flutes (see figure 2, flutes between 3) formed on the outer surface that defines one or more teeth,

an abrasive coating (Example 1) on at least a portion of the outer surface, wherein the one or more flutes are free of the abrasive coating.

2 Claims 2-4

Dependent claims 2-4 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty (claim 4) or inventive step (claims 2, 3):

Claims 2, 3: the roughness as claimed in claim 2 and the grain size in the abrasive coating as claimed in claim 3 are only one of the possibilities that the skilled person would choose without exerting any inventive skill.

Claim 4: see D1, figure 3.

3 Claim 5

The combination of the features of dependent claim 5 seems to be is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reasons are as follows: no document of the available prior art neither disclose nor suggests a mill bit according to claim 1 wherein the one or more flutes are helical flutes.