

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	27.04.2017
-------------------------------------	-------------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT-I-17-013	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
--	--

International application No. PCT/CN2017/000236	International filing date (day/month/year) 17.03.2017	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.03.2016
---	---	---

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
G08G1/00 (2006.01) i; G06Q50/00 (2012.01) i

Applicant
HU, Jixian

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CN	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/CN2017/000236

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - the international application in the language in which it was filed
 - a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43*bis*.1(a))

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
 - a. (means)
 - on paper
 - in electronic form

 - b. (time)
 - in the international application as filed
 - together with the international application in electronic form
 - subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search

4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

5. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/CN2017/000236

Box No. II

Priority

1. The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, if necessary:
[1] Upon verification, the priority claim is
established.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No. PCT/CN2017/000236
--

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
------------------	---

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	3-7	YES
	Claims	1, 2, 8	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	None	YES
	Claims	1-8	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8	YES
	Claims	None	NO

2. Citations and explanations:	
--------------------------------	--

[1] D1: CN 103714508 A (09 April 2014)

[2] I. Novelty and Inventive Step

[3] 1. D1 discloses an Internet-based membership riding information transmission method, and discloses (see description, paragraphs 0017-0024): establishing a central service platform; a member registers on the platform by means of identity and/or vehicle information authentication; member points are recorded in a member database of the central service platform, the member points being balanced according to the contribution to and use of a vacant seat resource, wherein one point is obtained per person per kilometer for each passenger-taking service provided, and one point is deducted per person per kilometer when riding in another vehicle; a point may be transferred between members. Hence, D1 discloses all of the technical features of claims 1, 2 and 8, and claims 1, 2 and 8 do not comply with PCT Article

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/CN2017/000236

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

33(2), and likewise do not comply with PCT Article 33(3).

[4] 2. Some or all of the additional technical features of claims 3-7 are not disclosed in D1. Hence, claims 3-7 comply with PCT Article 33(2).

[5] 3. D1 further discloses (see description, paragraphs 0017-0024): after logging into the central service platform by using a smart phone, the member issues requirement information thereof, comprising travel time, starting point, destination, and the like; the central service platform provides a search result according to a strategy; the member selects a specific member from the search result and issues an invitation for cooperation; a contract is formed after the receiving party receives and confirms the invitation for cooperation, and the central service platform notifies the cooperative parties by means of an online client program or a text message. On the basis of the foregoing, selecting a car owner by using distance as a screening condition, and establishing a contract by means of a car owner answer response mechanism is common general knowledge for a person skilled in the art. Hence, claims 3-5 do not comply with PCT Article 33(3).

[6] 4. The additional technical features of claims 6 and 7 are common designs for point transmission and redemption for a person skilled in the art.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/CN2017/000236

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

Hence, claims 6 and 7 do not comply with PCT
Article 33(3).

[7] II. Industrial Applicability

[8] Claim 1-8 comply with PCT Article 33(4).