

**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

**TRANSLATION**

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

**PCT**

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	<b>28.02.2017</b>
-------------------------------------	-------------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>PC-23148</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below
--	--

International application No. <b>PCT/JP2017/003357</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>31.01.2017</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>05.02.2016</b>
---	---	---

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
**G06F21/56 (2013.01) i, G06F3/0481 (2013.01) i**

Applicant  
**LAC CO., LTD.**

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2017/003357

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - the international application in the language in which it was filed
  - a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.  This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.  With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing:
  - a.  forming part of the international application as filed:
    - in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
    - on paper or in the form of an image file.
  - b.  furnished together with the international application under PCT Rule 13ter.1(a) for the purposes of international search only in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
  - c.  furnished subsequent to the international filing date for the purposes of international search only:
    - in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file (Rule 13ter.1(a)).
    - on paper or in the form of an image file (Rule 13ter.1(b) and Administrative Instructions, Section 713).
4.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that forming part of the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No. PCT/JP2017/003357
--

<b>Box No. V</b>	<b>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</b>
------------------	---

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5	YES
	Claims	_____	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-5	YES
	Claims	_____	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5	YES
	Claims	_____	NO

2. Citations and explanations:	
--------------------------------	--

Document 1: CN 102831351 A (BEIJING QIGU TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.) 19 December 2012, abstract, fig. 3 (Family: none)

Document 2: JP 2015-191458 A (NTT SOFTWARE CORP.) 02 November 2015, abstract, paragraph [0037], fig. 4 (Family: none)

Document 3: US 8256000 Base 1 (SYMANTEC CORPORATION) 22 August 2012, abstract, column 5, lines 5-9 (Family: none)

The invention as in claims 1-5 is novel and involves an inventive step in relation to the documents cited in the ISR.

Document 1 describes an icon display method in which a prescribed means is used to analyze a file or function corresponding to an icon, and a risk level determined by the analysis is displayed in superscript on icons for files where a security issue is present (see abstract, fig. 3).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2017/003357

**Box No. V** Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Document 2 discloses a method for determining the risk level of a file on the basis of an icon image even in cases where the icon image for a file received over a network has been skillfully fabricated, wherein a degree of similarity between an icon image for a file subject to risk determination and a base icon image serving as an icon image for a prescribed type of file (e.g., PDF, etc.) is calculated, and the risk level of the relevant file is determined on the basis of the degree of similarity thus calculated (see abstract, paragraph [0037], fig. 4).

Additionally, document 3 describes extracting image data or a .ico file included in relevant data, referencing a database in which icon images of existing icons are stored, and identifying icons which are similar to the data thus extracted, thereby being able to detect icon fabrication in malware (see abstract, column 5, lines 5-9).

However, the documents cited in the ISR neither describe nor suggest the feature of comparing the icon of a file to be displayed with a reference icon corresponding to the file type of the file to be displayed as set forth in the invention as in claims 1-5, nor could a person skilled in the art easily conceive of this feature even after considering the common technical knowledge at the time of filing.