

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	19.01.2016
-------------------------------------	-------------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference B34938W001
--

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
--

International application No. PCT/JP2015/078978	International filing date (day/month/year) 14.10.2015	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.10.2014
---	---	---

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C08L1/08 (2006.01) i, C08K5/205 (2006.01) i, C08K5/21 (2006.01) i, G02B5/30 (2006.01) i, G02F1/13363 (2006.01) i
--

Applicant KONICA MINOLTA, INC.
--

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2015/078978

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - the international application in the language in which it was filed
 - a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43*bis*.1(a))
3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
 - a. (means)
 - on paper
 - in electronic form
 - b. (time)
 - in the international application as filed
 - together with the international application in electronic form
 - subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No. PCT/JP2015/078978
--

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-8	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-8	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8	YES
	Claims		NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
<p>Documents cited in the ISR.</p> <p>Document 1: WO 2006/085449 A1 (KANEKA CORPORATION) 17 August 2006, claims, paragraphs [0055], [0065]-[0083], examples & JP 2006-221116 A & JP 2006-227222 A & JP 2006-317813 A & JP 2007-148312 A & KR 10-2007-0111480 A</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">The invention as in claims 1-8 lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Document 1 discloses a composition containing a cellulose N-substituted carbamate having a degree of substitution of 0.1 or more, an N-substituted carbamic acid ester, and 0.1-70% by weight of a retardation adjustment agent such as an N-substituted urea.</p>			