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Box No. I  Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
   ☑ the international application in the language in which it was filed.
   ☐ a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).

2. ☐ This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))

3. ☐ With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing:
   a. ☐ forming part of the international application as filed:
      ☐ in the form of an Annex C:ST.25 text file.
      ☐ on paper or in the form of an image file.
   b. ☐ furnished together with the international application under PCT Rule 13ter.1(a) for the purposes of international search only in the form of an Annex C:ST.25 text file.
   c. ☐ furnished subsequent to the international filing date for the purposes of international search only:
      ☐ in the form of an Annex C:ST.25 text file (Rule 13ter.1(a)).
      ☐ on paper or in the form of an image file (Rule 13ter.1(b) and Administrative Instructions, Section 713).

4. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that forming part of the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

5. Additional comments:
Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes: Claims</th>
<th>No: Claims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Novelty (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventive step (IS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial applicability (IA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

---

Box No. VIII  Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet
ITEM V

With regard to claims 28-32 and 34, the patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as patentable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow claims to a product, in particular substances or compositions for use in a first or further medical treatment.

The present subject matter is directed towards compounds of formula:

![Chemical Structure](image)

as inhibitors of mutant isocitrate dehydrogenase (mt-IDH) proteins with neomorphic activity, useful in the treatment of e.g. cancers.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 WO 2013/102431 A1
D2 WO 2014/141153 A1
D3 WO 2011/072174 A

NOVELTY (Article 33(2) PCT)

The present subject matter differs from D1-D3 on account of the present bicyclic, N-containing core structure.

INVENTIVE STEP (Article 33(3) PCT)

D2 may be considered the closest prior art and discloses compound of formula:

![Chemical Structure](image)

which are inhibitors of mutant IDH proteins having a neomorphic activity and are useful in the treatment of e.g. cancer.
The problem to be solved by the present subject matter vis-à-vis D2 is considered to be the provision of further inhibitors of mutant IDH proteins.

As a solution to this problem, the present application proposes the compound of formula I above, which is mainly characterised by a 3-(substituted)aminomethyl-2-isoquinolone core structure.

The problem appears to be solved (cf page 108, table 6).

The question to be addressed when assessing the inventive step of the present subject matter appears to be whether the skilled person would be motivated to replace the pyrimidine core-structure of D2 with a bicyclic, N-containing core structure, in order to solve the given problem.

Inhibitors of mutant IDH proteins are disclosed also in D1 and D3. However, neither D1 nor D3 appear to disclose or even suggest that compounds based upon a N-containing, bicyclic structure may provide a solution to the given problem.

The novel part of the present subject matter is therefore based upon an inventive step.

ITEM VIII

The term "prodrug" is a functional expression, i.e. an expression attempting to define the subject matter in terms of a desired property instead of indicating precisely the technical measures (i.e. in this case the chemical structures) specifically designed to solve the problem.

Similarly, the term "isomers" encompasses compounds (e.g. positional isomers) which may have a quite different structure compared to the structure of compound of formula (I) as defined above.

The two expression "prodrugs" and "isomers" as used in the claims do not fulfil therefore the requirements of Article 6 PCT.