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Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2015)
Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
   [X] the international application in the language in which it was filed.
   [ ] a translation of the international application into ______________________ which is the language of a
     translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. [ ] This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified
   to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been
   established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
   a. [ ] forming part of the international application as filed:
      [ ] in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
      [ ] on paper or in the form of an image file.
   b. [ ] furnished together with the international application under PCT Rule 13ter.1(a) for the purposes of international search
      only in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
   c. [ ] furnished subsequent to the international filing date for the purposes of international search only:
      [ ] in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file (Rule 13ter.1(a)).
      [ ] on paper or in the form of an image file (Rule 13ter.1(b) and Administrative Instructions, Section 713).

4. [ ] In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required
   statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or
   does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

5. Additional comments:

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. 1) (January 2015)
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application.

☒ claims Nos. 4-22

because:

☐ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. __________________________ relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):

☐ the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. ______________________________________ are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. __________________________ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):

☒ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 4-22

☐ a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:

☐ furnish a sequence listing in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in the form and manner acceptable to it; or the sequence listing furnished did not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper or in the form of an image file complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in the form and manner acceptable to it; or the sequence listing furnished did not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rule 13ter.1(a) or (b).

☐ See Supplemental Box for further details.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. III) (January 2015)
1. Statement

| Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-3, 23-27 | YES |
| Claims | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | 3, 23-26 | YES |
| Claims | 1-2, 27 | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-3, 23-27 | YES |
| Claims | NO |

2. Citations and explanations:

D2: CN 101139182 A, 12.03.2008

D1 is the closest prior art to inventions being claimed. D1 discloses a composition comprising calcium silicate selected from discrete calcium silicate phases selected from wollastonite and an amorphous calcium silicate phase at about 30% or more by mass of the total phases, as calcium silicate may exist in amorphous phase, in crystalline shape, or in a combination thereof [0037] and [0051]. Elemental Ca and elemental Si are present in the composition at a molar ratio from about 0.8 to about 1.2; and metal oxides of Al, Fe and Mg are present in the composition at about 30% or less by mass. Binders and filler particles are fused together as a result of reaction running in CO₂-containing atmosphere forming CaCO₃ as the wollastonite core surrounded with particles of calcium silicate being carbonized providing CaCO₃ weight content up to 100% (see D1, claim, par. [0014], [0037], [0041], [0043], [0051] - [0054], [0078], [0085], [00134] and [00144], table 7).

The invention according to independent claim 1 differs from D1 in that the composition is carbonated with CO₂ at a temperature of about 30°C to about 90°C.

Consequently, claims 1-3 and 27 meet the criterion of novelty.

However, D2 (abstract) discloses the carbonization of composition comprising calcium silicate with CO₂ at a temperature of about 30°C to about 90°C.

Therefore, independent claims 1 and 27 do not meet the criterion of inventive step. 
D1 (claim, par. [0051]-[0053]) discloses the composition comprising one or more residual SiO₂ and CaO phases.

Consequently, dependent claim 2 does not meet the criterion of inventive step.

Dependent claim 3 meets the criterion of inventive step as the composition comprising one or more melilité type phases having general formulae disclosed in claim 3 is not known from prior art.
The carbonatable calcium silicate composition in powder form having a mean particle size (d50) of about 8 \(\mu\)m to about 25 \(\mu\)m, with 10\% of particles (d10) sized below about 0.1 \(\mu\)m to about 3 \(\mu\)m, and 90\% of particles (d90) sized above about 35 \(\mu\)m to about 100 \(\mu\)m is not known from prior art. Said composition allows to lesser water consumption in molding goods and allows increasing goods' durability.

Consequently, claims 23-26 meet the criterion of novelty and the criterion of inventive step. Claims 1-3 and 23-27 meet the criterion of industrial applicability.