

**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

**TRANSLATION**

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

**PCT**

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

|                                     |                   |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Date of mailing<br>(day/month/year) | <b>26.05.2015</b> |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|

|  |
|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference<br><b>633406W001</b> |
|--|

|  |
|--|
| <b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b><br>See paragraph 2 below |
|--|

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| International application No.<br><b>PCT/JP2015/058344</b> | International filing date (day/month/year)<br><b>19.03.2015</b> | Priority date (day/month/year)<br><b>19.03.2014</b> |
|---|---|---|

|   |
|---|
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC<br><b>H04J11/00 (2006.01) i, H04B1/10 (2006.01) i</b> |
|---|

|   |
|---|
| Applicant<br><b>MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC CORPORATION</b> |
|---|

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

|  |                                    |                    |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP | Date of completion of this opinion | Authorized officer |
| Facsimile No.                          |                                    | Telephone No.      |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2015/058344

Box No. I      Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - the international application in the language in which it was filed
  - a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.  This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
  - a. (means)
    - on paper
    - in electronic form
  - b. (time)
    - in the international application as filed
    - together with the international application in electronic form
    - subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

|  |
|--|
| International application No.<br>PCT/JP2015/058344 |
|--|

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| <b>Box No. V</b> | <b>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</b> |
|------------------|---|

|                               |  |                   |     |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----|
| 1. Statement                  |  |                   |     |
| Novelty (N)                   |  | Claims <u>1-4</u> | YES |
|                               |  | Claims _____      | NO  |
| Inventive step (IS)           |  | Claims _____      | YES |
|                               |  | Claims <u>1-4</u> | NO  |
| Industrial applicability (IA) |  | Claims <u>1-4</u> | YES |
|                               |  | Claims _____      | NO  |

|                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 2. Citations and explanations: |  |
|--------------------------------|--|

Document 1: JP 2011-234259 A (SHARP CORP.) 17 November 2011, paragraphs [0091], [0092]

Document 2: JP 2013-539266 A (QUALCOMM INC.) 17 October 2013, paragraph [0056] & US 2012/0201152 A1 ([0070])

Document 3: JP 2002-135230 A (MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC CORP.) 10 May 2002, paragraph [0086] & US 2004/0109419 A1 ([0124])

Document 1 (paragraphs [0091], [0092]) discloses a reception device that receives a signal that includes a null symbol, and is provided with a no-signal state detection unit 512 (corresponding to the null extraction means that extracts null symbols from a received signal) and a reception power measurement unit 507 (corresponding to the power calculation means that calculates the power of null symbols extracted by the null extraction means and the average value thereof).

Document 2 (paragraph [0056]) discloses a means for determining interference power using a plurality of types

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2015/058344

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

of methods, and a means for obtaining an accurate interference power value by selecting one from among the determined interference power values (corresponding to the filtering means for executing a plurality of filtering operations and performing nonlinear processing).

Document 3 (paragraph [0086]) discloses a use for an interference power value in obtaining satisfactory communication quality by normalizing data included in a received signal on the basis of an interference power value.

The invention as in claims 1, 2, and 4 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 does not disclose a technical means for executing a plurality of filtering operations and performing nonlinear processing. However, since acquiring the accurate transmission path state is a goal as a matter of course, in order to obtain the accurate interference power value in the reception device disclosed in document 1, a person skilled in the art could easily use the means disclosed in document 2.

Further, using an interference power value is a feature that could be used appropriately by a person skilled in the art, and on the basis of the interference power values disclosed in document 3, a person skilled in the art could easily use interference power values for normalization of data included in a received signal.

The invention as in claim 3 does not involve an

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2015/058344

**Box No. V** Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

inventive step in the light of documents 1-3 cited in the  
ISR.

A person skilled in the art could appropriately  
determine the kind of interference to assume, and  
assuming well-known interference falls within the normal  
creative ability of a person skilled in the art.