

**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

**TRANSLATION**

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

**PCT**

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	<b>20.06.2013</b>
-------------------------------------	-------------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>2016</b>
--

<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below
--

International application No. <b>PCT/RU2013/000070</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>01.02.2013</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>17.04.2012</b>
---	---	---

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC <b>E02B9/00 (2006.01)</b>
--

Applicant <b>KAZANTSEV, Andrey Nikolaevich</b>
---

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/RU	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/RU2013/000070

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - the international application in the language in which it was filed
  - a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.  This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43*bis*.1(a))
3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
  - a. (means)
    - on paper
    - in electronic form
  - b. (time)
    - in the international application as filed
    - together with the international application in electronic form
    - subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No. PCT/RU2013/000070
--

<b>Box No. V</b>	<b>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</b>
------------------	---

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	2-6	YES
	Claims	1	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2, 3, 5, 6	YES
	Claims	4	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims	_____	NO

2. Citations and explanations:	
--------------------------------	--

D1: Baibikov A. "Tekhnologii: energiya oblakov", gazeta Energetika i promyshlennost Rossii, No. 4, 2010, date of information being posted on the website: 21.06.2010, [retrieved 2013-05-28]. Retrieved from the Internet: <http://www.ingelec.ru/about/news/detail.php?ID=319>)

D2: RU 2250513 C1

D3: RU 94039446 A1

D4: RU 2398937 C1

D5: RU 2074610 C1

D6: CN 202417796 U

D1 discloses a hydropower station comprising a head water and a tail water, a water conduit and a turbo generator, wherein said station is provided with woven surfaces (in the known solution, these are identified as a surface of a cone-shaped receiver tank made from industrial aero fabric) (section entitled "Pilotnaya ustanovka" ["Pilot unit"]) (there may be several) (section entitled "Voda na

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/RU2013/000070

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

aerotkani" ["Water on aero fabric"]), said woven surfaces being designed so as to be capable of receiving atmospheric moisture (in the known solution, on the fabric of the receiver tank at a suitable incline of the walls) (section entitled "Voda na aerotkani" ["Water on aero fabric"]) and delivering said moisture to the head water (in the known solution: the apex of the cone, to which apex a tube made from aero fabric is attached) (section entitled "Pilotnaya ustanovka" ["Pilot unit"]).

D1 discloses the full combination of features of the invention according to claim 1, including the variant with woven surfaces, which makes it possible to conclude that this design variant of the invention according to claim 1 does not satisfy the criterion of novelty.

In relation to the other variants listed in claim 1, it is noted that it is known from D2 to provide mesh surfaces for reducing the wind load on a structure, and that it is known from D3 to use mesh surfaces for condensing moisture. It is known from D4 to use film surfaces for condensing atmospheric moisture thereon.

It is known from D5 to provide surfaces (in the known solution: screen 6) with a drainage system for collecting atmospheric moisture, designed in the form of conduits (reference signs 17 and 18) and piped channels (reference sign 19) which transfer the collected water to the head water (in the known solution: a water tank). It is obvious to a person skilled in the art to position the head water (water tank) close to the surfaces.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/RU2013/000070

**Box No. V** Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

Therefore, dependent claim 4 does not satisfy the criterion of inventive step.

The prior art shows that the technical solutions presented in dependent claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 are neither known nor obvious from said prior art as a means of improving operating features, and as a result, the invention according to these claims satisfies the criterion of inventive step.

The invention satisfies the criterion of industrial applicability.