PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (dav/month/vear) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 11685OLC744F See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/FR2013/050339 19.02.2013 20.02.2012 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F01D17/06 F01D17/08 F02K9/48 Applicant **SNECMA** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No.

International application No.

PCT/FR2013/050339

Box No. I		Basis of this opinion					
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed					
		a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a					
		translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).					
2.		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule $43bis.1(a)$)					
3.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ntion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a.	type of material					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material						
		on paper					
		in electronic form					
	c.	time of filing/furnishing					
		contained in the international application as filed					
		filed together with the international application in electronic form					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search					
4.	Ш	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
5.	Addi	tional comments:					

International application No.
PCT/FR2013/050339

Box No. V			Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statemen	į	•					
	Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS) Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims	1-12	YES			
			Claims					
			Claims	1-12	YES			
			Claims	1-12	YES			
2.	Citations	and explanations:						
	Refe	rence is ma	de to	the following document:				
	D1	US 6 321	525 B1	1 (ROGERS DAVID MARK [US]) 27				
		November	2001	(2001-11-27)				
	1	Claim 1						
	1.1	D1 (colum	ın 1,]	lines 36-39 and column 2, lines				
		21-30), w	hich i	is considered to be the prior art				
		closest t	o the	subject matter of claim 1,				
		discloses	a met	thod for ensuring the safety of				
		operation	of a	rotary assembly of a turbomachine				
		(22), whi	.ch rot	tary assembly comprises a turbine				
		(28) the	rotati	ion of which is brought about by the				
		expansion	of a	driving fluid which is intended to				
		flow thro	ugh th	ne turbine (28), and a rotary				
			_	nich is mechanically driven in				
				e turbine (28) so as to displace a				

driven fluid which is intended to flow through the

International application No. PCT/FR2013/050339

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

rotary machine (24).

Therefore the subject matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that the crossing of a predetermined speed threshold by the rotary assembly is anticipated by repetitively carrying out a prediction cycle during which:

- the following parameters are measured in a

- predetermined time interval:
- * an actual rotational speed of the rotary assembly at a given observation instant within said time interval;
- * at least one fluid/turbine interaction parameter which is indicative of the interaction between the turbine and the driving fluid;
- * at least one fluid/rotary machine interaction parameter which is indicative of the interaction between the rotary machine and the driven fluid;
- the driving torque applied by the turbine to the rotary assembly is estimated from said actual rotational speed and said at least one fluid/turbine interaction parameter;
- independently of the estimate of said driving torque, the resistant torque applied by the rotary machine to the rotary assembly is estimated, from said actual rotational speed and from said at least one fluid/rotary machine interaction parameter;
- a value indicative of the difference between said driving torque and said resistant torque is formulated;
- a predicted rotational speed of the rotary assembly at a prediction instant subsequent to

International application No. PCT/FR2013/050339

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

said observation instant is calculated from said actual rotational speed, said indicative value and the difference in time between the prediction instant and the observation instant;

- a first condition is determined to have been satisfied if said predicted rotational speed crosses the predetermined speed threshold, and in that an action is carried out on the operation of the turbomachine in order to limit the extent to which the rotary assembly thereof exceeds, in terms of speed, said threshold if, during the prediction cycle, it is determined that at least the first condition is met; therefore it is novel.

1.2 The problem addressed by the present invention can be considered that of providing a turbomachine with overspeed protection.

The solution to this problem, as proposed in claim 1 of the present application, is considered to involve an inventive step, for the following reasons: none of the cited documents describes, alone or in combination, all of the features of claim 1. Also, the subject matter of claim 1 is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

2 Claims 2-12 are dependent on claim 1 and as such likewise meet the requirements of novelty and inventive step.