

TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

PCT

RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ (chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire	POUR SUITE À DONNER	Voir le point 4 ci-dessous
Demande internationale no. PCT/IB2012/001340	Date du dépôt international (<i>jour/mois/année</i>) 05 July 2012 (05.07.2012)	Date de priorité (<i>jour/mois/année</i>) 11 July 2011 (11.07.2011)
Classification internationale des brevets (8 ^e édition, sauf indication d'une édition antérieure) Voir les informations pertinentes dans le formulaire PCT/ISA/237		
Déposant MOREAU, Pierre		

1.	Le présent rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I) est établi par le Bureau international au nom de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale selon la règle 44bis.1.a).																								
2.	Ce RAPPORT comprend un total de 5 feuilles, y compris la présente feuille de couverture. Dans les feuilles jointes, toute référence à l'opinion écrite de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale doit être entendue, à la place, comme une référence au rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).																								
3.	<p>Le présent rapport contient des indications relatives aux points suivants :</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 5%; text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 20%;">Cadre n° I</td> <td>Base de l'opinion</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Cadre n° II</td> <td>Priorité</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Cadre n° III</td> <td>Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Cadre n° IV</td> <td>Absence d'unité de l'invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Cadre n° V</td> <td>Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Cadre n° VI</td> <td>Certains documents cités</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Cadre n° VII</td> <td>Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Cadre n° VIII</td> <td>Certaines observations relatives à la demande internationale</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° II	Priorité	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° III	Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° V	Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relatives à la demande internationale
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° II	Priorité																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° III	Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'invention																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° V	Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relatives à la demande internationale																							
4.	Le Bureau international communiquera le présent rapport aux offices désignés conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1 mais pas avant l'expiration du délai de 30 mois à compter de la date de priorité (règle 44bis.2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une requête expresse à cet égard en vertu de l'article 23.2).																								

Bureau international de l'OMPI 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland no de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70	Date d'établissement du présent rapport 14 January 2014 (14.01.2014) Fonctionnaire autorisé <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">Cécile Chatel</p> e-mail: ro.ib@wipo.int
---	--

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year) **See form PCT/ISA/210**

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
---------------------------------------	--

International application No. PCT/IB2012/001340	International filing date (day/month/year) 05.07.2012	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.07.2011
---	---	---

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
G09B23/26

Applicant
MOREAU, Pierre

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2012/001340

Box No. I **Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - the international application in the language in which it was filed
 - a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43*bis*.1(a))

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
 - a. (means)
 - on paper
 - in electronic form

 - b. (time)
 - in the international application as filed
 - together with the international application in electronic form
 - subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search

4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

5. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No. PCT/IB2012/001340
--

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-16	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-16	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 DE 35 36 996 A1 (WURM WINFRIED DIPL ARCH) 13 March 1986 (1986-03-13)

D2 WO 97/33670 A1 (HENRIQUES RABA RAOUL [FR]) 18 September 1997 (1997-09-18)

D3 US 4 701 131 A (HILDEBRANDT PAUL R [US] ET AL) 20 October 1987 (1987-10-20)

2 Novelty - Claim 1

D1, which is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses a modular system enabling the creation of assemblies of polyhedral atoms; see figures 1-28.

The subject matter of claim 1 therefore differs from the teachings of D1 in that each of the vertices of the toric crown is specifically associated with five triangles of the torus; it is therefore novel.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

3 Inventive Step - Claim 1

Proposing a model enabling the production of 3D tree structures would appear to equate to a technical problem. It would seem, however, that D1 already makes possible the production of such tree structures. The inventive step involved in the present application must therefore be seen in the fact that it offers an alternative embodiment.

NB: Aesthetic possibilities and considerations do not help to solve the technical problem and cannot be used as grounds for acknowledging an inventive step. The same applies to the intellectual considerations that might result from observing the claimed model.

4 Dependent claims

Dependent claims 2-16, which describe optional embodiments, must therefore likewise be considered novel and inventive.