

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

**TRANSLATION**

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

**PCT**

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	<b>22.02.2012</b>
-------------------------------------	-------------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>20110497</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below
--	--

International application No. <b>PCT/ES2011/070670</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>26.09.2011</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>27.09.2010</b>
---	---	---

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
**H01Q17/00 (2006.01)**

Applicant  
**FUNDACIÓN EUROPEA DE BIOELECTROMAGNETISMO Y CIENCIAS DE LA SALUD**

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ES	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/ES2011/070670

Box No. I      Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - the international application in the language in which it was filed
  - a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.  This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43*bis*.1(a))
3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
  - a. (means)
    - on paper
    - in electronic form
  - b. (time)
    - in the international application as filed
    - together with the international application in electronic form
    - subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/ES2011/070670

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	_____	YES
	Claims	1-10	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	_____	YES
	Claims	1-10	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	_____	YES
	Claims	1-10	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

D1 EISBERG, Robert M et al. Física: Fundamentos y Aplicaciones, vol. II, McGraw Hill, Mexico, 1984. ISBN: 968-451-634-7.

In light of document D1, which belongs to the prior art, claims 1-10 lack novelty and inventive step (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)).

**Claim 1**

The content of claim 1, which is understood to relate to an LC resonant circuit, is described in an identical manner in document D1 (pages 1285-1291; 1318-1319), and therefore said document adversely affects said claim in terms of the requirement of novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).

**Claims 2-10**

The remaining, dependent claims either lack technical features or do not include technical features that are novel over the disclosure of D1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/ES2011/070670

**Box No. V** Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

Therefore, these claims do not comply with the requirement of novelty (PCT Article 33(2)) over the prior art.

As regards the requirement of industrial applicability, neither the description nor the figures nor the claims disclose a structure that would make it possible to produce a device for neutralizing electromagnetic fields. Consequently, claims 1-10 do not comply with the requirement of industrial applicability (PCT Article 33(4)).

To conclude, the application does not comply with the patentability requirements of PCT Article 33(1).