### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT	HORITY			
To: BARBARA RAE-VENTER RAE-VENTER LAW GROUP, P.C. P.O. BOX 1898 MONTEREY, CA 93942		PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			(PCT Rule 43bis	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	24 NO	V 2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference  AZNE00901WO		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mo	onth/year)
PCT/US04/13636	29 April 2004 (29.04.20	004)	29 April 2003 (29.04	4.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC)		tion and IPC		
IPC(7): H04L 9/32 and US C1.: 713/20 Applicant	0			01000
AZAIRE NETWORKS INC.				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
If a demand for international prelin International Preliminary Examinir Authority other than this one to be that written opinions of this Internat If this opinion is, as provided above IPEA a written reply together, wh mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or be For further options, see Form PCT/3. For further details, see notes to Form	ng Authority ("IPEA") exthe IPEA and the chosen I ional Searching Authority e, considered to be a writter appropriate, with american effore the expiration of 22 in ISA/220.	cept that this does PEA has notified the will not be so conside en opinion of the IP endments, before the	not apply where the International Bureau ered.  EA, the applicant is in expiration of 3 more	applicant chooses an under Rule 66.1 <i>bis(b)</i> nvited to submit to the nths from the date of
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Authorized officer Ayaz R Sheikh	Prosont	anod

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/13636

Box No. I Basis of this opinion		
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
a. type of material		
a sequence listing		
table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material		
in written format		
in computer readable form		
c. time of filing/furnishing		
contained in international application as filed.		
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4. Additional comments:		
·		
Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)		

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/13636

YES

NO

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims 1-3

Claims NONE

Inventive step (IS)

Claims NONE

YES

Claims 1-3

NONE

YES

NONE

YES

NONE

YES

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-3 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Digiorgio et al in view of Josenhans et al. As to claims 1 and 3, Digiorgio et al discloses supporting a method of authentication according to the universal access method (UAM) of authentication and authorization.

Claims 1-3

Claims NONE

Digiorgio et al does not teach authenticating roaming customers of mobile service providers.

Josenhans et al teaches authenticating roaming customers of mobile service providers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Digiorgio et al so that roaming customers of mobile service providers would have been authenticated.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Digiorgio et al by the teaching of Josenhans et al because it allows a roaming customers to obtain services from their provider and it also prevents fraud.

As to claim 2, Digiorgio et al teaches employing temporary credentials in order to provide secure means for authenticating a client of a customer client device to a network of a mobile service provider for authentication with privacy as to user identity and to prevent replay attacks.

Claims 1-3 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because method and apparatus for performing SIM-based authentication and authorization in a WLAN Internet Service Provider network supporting the universal access method of authentication and authorization enabling roaming for customers of mobile service providers onto the network can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/13636

Box No. VIII Certain observations	on the international application
-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims,	description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully
supported by the description, are made:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Claims 1-3 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 1-3 are indefinite for the following reason(s): omnibus claims.

Claims 1-3 have the phrase "substantially as shown and described" render the claims omnibus claims.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)